

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,225	06/25/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/279001/US5023/5025 1969	
26171	7590 07/26/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022			SONG, MATTHEW J	
	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		YAMAZAKI ET AL			
Office Action Summary	09/892,225		·		
omoo Aodon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this are represented in	Matthew J. Song	1722	dua a a		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ntn the correspondence ad	aress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely  NTHS from the mailing date of this co  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	9 <u>May 2005</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7,15-19,23,29-31 and 35-46</u> is/a	re pending in the application	L			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	· ·	by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	***		R 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		• •	_		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National	Stage		
application from the International But	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	traccived	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	nation the centilied copies no	i i Godiveu.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	. 450)		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTC .	)-152)		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	-, <u>-</u>	<del></del>			
	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 050721		

Art Unit: 1722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Repeated Rejections

- 1. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided, has been repeated as previously made in the office action dated 2/9/2005.
- 2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract has been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) has been repeated as previously made in the office action dated 2/9/2005.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, or Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) as applied to claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 above, and further in view of Zhang et al (US 5,578,520), has been repeated as previously made in the office action dated 2/9/2005.
- 4. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection of claims 42 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where

an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided; or Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541), as applied to claims 5-7, 23, and 35-41 above, and further in view of Maekawa (US 6,066,547), has been repeated as previously made in the office action dated 2/9/2005.

#### New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 15-16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission).

Art Unit: 1722

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches a first amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and a second amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the amorphous layers are heat treated to form polycrystalline SiGe and Si by solid growth. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material (Abstract). The germanium in layer 2 reads on applicants' element capable of promoting crystallization of silicon.

Noguchi et al does not teach the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Noguchi et al by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable. Furthermore, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. (In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235(CCPA 1955)).

Admission teaches a technique for forming a crystalline silicon film, by introducing a metal element, such as nickel, which promotes crystallization of silicon into an amorphous silicon film and fabricating a crystalline silicon film at a heat treatment lower than conventional temperature, note pages 3-4 of the specification, this reads on applicant's introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous layer because the second amorphous layer is

silicon. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Noguchi et al by introducing a metal into the amorphous silicon to promote crystallization and reduce the heat treatment temperature, as taught by Admission.

Referring to claim 16, Germanium is larger than silicon and capable of promoting crystallization.

7. Claims 15-17 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission).

Shimizu discloses a method of fabricating a polycrystalline silicon-germanium thin film transistor (TFT), note entire reference, on an insulating substrate, comprising forming an amorphous silicon layer, an amorphous germanium layer and converting the amorphous silicon layer and the amorphous germanium layer into polycrystalline layers (col 3, ln 1-25). Shimizu also discloses the amorphous silicon and germanium layers are formed by plasma CVD (col 3, ln 26-40 and Example 2). Shimizu also discloses both of the amorphous layers are converted into polycrystalline layer by annealing using an ultraviolet laser light, such as an excimer laser (col 3, In 41-67 and Example 3). Shimzu also discloses a source electrode 2 and a drain electrode 3 and an amorphous silicon film used as an ohmic contact layer 4, this reads on applicant's insulating film covering an electrode, and thereafter forming an amorphous silicon and amorphous germanium layer, which are crystallized by laser light (col 5, ln 1-67). Shimzu also teaches the application of heat or light to promote recrystallization of amorphous germanium will result in

Art Unit: 1722

progress of recrystallization of the amorphous silicon layer at a lower temperature than that by conventional methods (col 3, ln 64 to col 4, ln 20).

Shimizu teaches using a layer of germanium to lower the recrystallization temperature of an amorphous silicon layer (col 3, ln 64 to col 4, ln 20). Shimizu does not teach the first layer of germanium comprises silicon and germanium.

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches a first amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and a second amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Shimizu by using the sequentially laminated amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layers taught by Noguchi et al to lower the solid growth temperature of the amorphous Si layer, which is desirable, as taught by Shimizu.

The combination of Shimizu and Noguchi et al teach all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Shimizu and Noguchi et al by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable. Furthermore, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not

Art Unit: 1722

inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. (In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235(CCPA 1955)).

Referring to claim 15-16, the combination of Shimizu and Noguchi et al does not teach introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous semiconductor film. Admission teaches a technique for forming a crystalline silicon film, by introducing a metal element, such as nickel, which promotes crystallization of silicon into an amorphous silicon film and fabricating a crystalline silicon film at a heat treatment lower than conventional temperature, note pages 3-4 of the specification, this reads on applicant's introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous layer because the second amorphous layer is silicon. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Shimizu and Noguchi et al by introducing a metal into the amorphous silicon to promote crystallization and reduce the heat treatment temperature, as taught by Admission.

8. Claims 15-17 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission).

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches an amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and an amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material. Noguchi et al also teaches amorphous layer is crystallized by heat treating for a predetermined time to form polycrystalline layers 21, 31 (Abstract).

Noguchi et al teaches using a heat treatment to crystallize the amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layer to polycrystalline layers. Noguchi et al does not teach crystallizing the amorphous fils by irradiated with an excimer laser light.

In a method of fabricating a polycrystalline silicon-germanium thin film transistor (TFT), note entire reference, Shimizu teaches forming an amorphous silicon layer, an amorphous germanium layer and converting the amorphous silicon layer and the amorphous germanium layer into polycrystalline layers (col 3, ln 1-25). Shimizu also discloses the amorphous silicon and germanium layers are formed by plasma CVD (col 3, ln 26-40 and Example 2). Shimizu also discloses both of the amorphous layers are converted into polycrystalline layer by annealing using an ultraviolet laser light, such as an excimer laser (col 3, ln 41-67 and Example 3). Shimzu also discloses a source electrode 2 and a drain electrode 3 and an amorphous silicon film used as an ohmic contact layer 4, this reads on applicant's insulating film covering an electrode, and thereafter forming an amorphous silicon and amorphous germanium layer, which are crystallized by laser light (col 5, ln 1-67). Shimzu also teaches the application of heat or light to promote recrystallization of amorphous germanium will result in progress of recrystallization of the amorphous silicon layer at a lower temperature than that by conventional methods and laser annealing can be replaced with heating to a temperature greater than 600°C (col 3, ln 64 to col 4, ln 20 and col 6, ln 20-35), this is a teaching that the application of heat or light are equivalent methods of recrystallization of amorphous SiGe and Si layers.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Noguchi et al's heat treatment by using an excimer laser annealing, as taught

by Shimzu, because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

The combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu teach all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu by optimizing the concentration of germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable. Furthermore, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. (In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235(CCPA 1955)).

Referring to claim 15-16, the combination of Noguchi et al and Shimizu does not teach introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous semiconductor film. Admission teaches a technique for forming a crystalline silicon film, by introducing a metal element, such as nickel, which promotes crystallization of silicon into an amorphous silicon film and fabricating a crystalline silicon film at a heat treatment lower than conventional temperature, note pages 3-4 of the specification, this reads on applicant's introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous layer because the second amorphous layer is silicon. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of

Art Unit: 1722

Noguchi et al and Shimizu by introducing a metal into the amorphous silicon to promote crystallization and reduce the heat treatment temperature, as taught by Admission.

9. Claims 19 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission), or Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541) and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission) as applied to claims 15-16 above, and further in view of Zhang et al (US 5,578,520).

The combination of Shimizu, Noguchi and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission teaches all of the limitations of claim 19, as discussed previously in claim 15. The combination of Shimizu, Noguchi and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission is silent to a CVD apparatus with a turbo molecular pump used in an exhaust means connected to a reaction chamber.

In a plasma CVD apparatus for depositing amorphous silicon, Zhang et al teaches a CVD apparatus 2, where a vacuum evacuation apparatus comprising a turbo molecular pump and a rotary pump connected in series, so that impurity concentration inside the chamber may be maintained as low as possible (Fig 2 and col 6, ln 1-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Shimizu, Noguchi and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission to maintain the impurity concentration in the chamber as low as possible.

Art Unit: 1722

Also, Applicant is reminded apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, may have little weight in process claims (In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141).

10. Claims 18 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu (US 5,753,541) in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission); or Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission), in view of Shimizu (US 5,753,541), as applied to claims 15-16 above, and further in view of Maekawa (US 6,066,547).

The combination of Shimizu, Noguchi and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission teaches all of the limitations of claim 18, as discussed previously. The combination of Shimizu, Noguchi and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission is silent to irradiating with a light from one selected from the group consisting of a halogen lamp, a xenon lamp, a mercury lamp, a metal halide lamp as a light source.

In a method of forming a Thin film transistor, note entire reference, Maekawa teaches a transparent substrate of glass or quartz, a step 90 for providing an amorphous film, where silicon, germanium or silicon-germanium alloys are typical amorphous films, for forming a thin film transistor, a step 92 for depositing a layer of an amorphous film, a step 94 for introducing a transition metal to induce rapid crystallization of the amorphous film and a step 96 for rapid thermal annealing to convert the amorphous film into a polycrystalline film (Fig 20 and col 11,

Art Unit: 1722

In 1-67). Maekawa also teaches the rapid thermal annealing step includes annealing with a tungsten-halogen lamp, Xe arc lamp and an excimer laser (col 12, ln 1-50). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of Shimizu, Noguchi and Admission or the combination of Noguchi et al, Shimizu and Admission because substitution of known equivalents for the same purpose is held to be obvious (MPEP 2144.06).

## Double Patenting

11. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

12. Claims 5-7, 15-16, 19, 31, and 35-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 7, 50-51, 59-60, 66 of U.S. Patent No. 6,482,684 in view of Noguchi et al (JP 04-168769), where an English Abstract and English Translation have been provided, and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (Admission). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between the claims of the instant application and US 6,482,684 is the

Art Unit: 1722

instant claims first amorphous layer comprising germanium and a second amorphous semiconductor layer, where US 6,482,684 claims an amorphous semiconductor film and forming a film comprising germanium, which is inherently amorphous because the film is formed on an amorphous film using conventional deposition techniques, i.e. plasma CVD.

US 6,482,684 also does not claim a silicon and germanium containing film wherein a concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atom% to 10 atom% or the silicon layer is formed on a Silicon Germanium layer.

In a method of solid growth, Noguchi et al teaches a first amorphous layer 2 made of SiGe or Ge is formed on a substrate 1 and a second amorphous silicon layer 3 is formed on the layer 2. Noguchi et al also teaches the solid growth temperature is lowered because of the sequentially laminated starting material (Abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify US 6,482,684 by using the sequentially laminated amorphous SiGe and amorphous Si layers taught by Noguchi et al to lower the solid growth temperature of the amorphous Si layer, which is desirable.

The combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al teaches all of the limitations of claim 5, as discussed previously, except the concentration of germanium is within a range of 0.1 atoms% to 10 atom%. Concentration is well known in the art to be a result effective variable and Noguchi et al teaches the concentration of Germanium is a result effective variable, as evidenced in Figure 2. A lower germanium concentration would be desirable to limit the amount of impurities, which can diffuse through the device during high temperature processes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al by optimizing the concentration of

germanium to obtain the claimed ranged by conducting routine experimentation of a result effective variable.

Referring to claim 15-16, the combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al does not teach introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous semiconductor film.

Admission teaches a technique for forming a crystalline silicon film, by introducing a metal element, such as nickel, which promotes crystallization of silicon into an amorphous silicon film and fabricating a crystalline silicon film at a heat treatment lower than conventional temperature, note pages 3-4 of the specification, this reads on applicant's introducing a metal element after forming the second amorphous layer because the second amorphous layer is silicon. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination of US 6,482,684 and Noguchi et al by introducing a metal into the amorphous silicon to promote crystallization and reduce the heat treatment temperature, as taught by Admission.

Referring to claims 19 and 31, Applicant is reminded apparatus limitations, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, may have little weight in process claims (In re Tarczy-Hornoch 158 USPQ 141).

## Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 14. Applicant's arguments filed 5/9/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that Noguchi et al teaches away from the claimed germanium concentration is noted but is not found persuasive. Noguchi et al actually does teach the claimed concentration because Noguchi teaches the entire range of concentrations for pure silicon to pure germanium, note Figure 2. Noguchi merely teaches it is preferable to have a higher concentration to lower the heat treatment temperature. The heat treatment temperature can be lowered as desired by Noguchi with a low germanium concentration by incorporating a metal element, which promotes crystallization, note instant claim 15 and Applicant's Admitted Prior Art. The change in concentration of SiGe is well known in the art of semiconductor to be a result effective variable, which changes the electrical properties of the SiGe film. Therefore, the claimed concentration would have been obvious to obtain a semiconductor with desired electrical or semiconductive properties. Noguchi et al does not teach away from the claimed invention and Noguchi et al does teach the claimed values. Patents are relevant for all that they contain including non-preferred embodiments. Preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or non-preferred embodiments (MPEP 2123).

#### Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Canon (JP 59-129859) teaches an amorphous layer **102** composed of Ge or Ge and Si and a second layer of amorphous Si (Abstract).

Art Unit: 1722

Hitachi (JP 64-053408) teaches depositing an amorphous germanium layer on a silicon substrate and depositing an amorphous silicon layer thereon and crystallizing the germanium layer (Abstract).

Sanyo (JP 03-284882) teaches laminating amorphous silicon layers 41 and amorphous germanium layers on a substrate and annealing at 300-400°C to crystallize only the germanium layer and not the silicon layer which crystallizes at about 500°C (abstract).

Sexton et al (US 5,225,371) teaches a germanium layer, a polysilicon film and laser annealing to crystallize the layers (col 3-4).

Samechima et al (US 5,726,487) teaches an amorphous silicon layer on a glass substrate, an amorphous SiGe layer on the silicon layer and irradiating with a laser to crystallize the layers (col 3-4).

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1722

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Matthew J. Song whose telephone number is 571-272-1468. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner

Matthew J Song

Art Unit 1722

MJS July 21, 2005

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER